APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM CONTRACTS PROCEDURE RULES

Contact Officer: Tim Goss	Tel Ext:	7265	Date:	10 February 2009
Portfolio				
Contract: Management of gas servicing works boiler replacements.	s, together	with new cer	ntral hea	iting installations and
Contractor (if known)				
Gas Contract Services Ltd				
Amount of contract: £56,000 (8% based on estimated overall works value of £700,000)				
Budget Code: H4500 1008 N005 ZZZZZ 7002 8723		Budget v £350,000 £350,000) (+£92,	000 estab. Charge)
(Please enter the cost centre code a code)	and accour	,	J	
Is this applying for exemption retros	pectively?	NO		
(If yes, please attach authorisation f S151 Officer)	rom the			

Please outline the CPR's you wish to suspend. (Please quote the CPR number)

3.0 QUOTATIONS

3.1 Where the value of goods and services are not expected to exceed £75,000, officers are not required to undertake a full tendering exercise but shall instead invite quotations.

Please describe why you want to suspend the above CPR's.

This request is to engage Gas Contract Services to provide professional services in the preparation, seeking and assessment of tenders for the gas servicing contract together with heating installations, renewals and breakdowns, and to manage the contract for the year 2010/11.

Following on from the work being carried out on the responsive repairs contract those who are responsible for maintenance, at an operational level, in Canterbury, Thanet, Shepway, Dover and Ashford (the joint maintenance working group) have met together to discuss the possibility of jointly procuring the gas servicing works. This assessment is in line with the undertaking outlined in the joint report to Chief Executives in March 2008.

The responsive repair contract is a high value contract with high stakes for each authority that means the diverse nature of the contracts causes greater difficulties in bringing the various practices together. However, the gas servicing contracts for each authority are simpler to jointly procure.

Currently the contracts for all five authorities end on 31 March 2010. All five authorities are committed to providing the best and most cost effective service they can and believe that working together on the procurement of this contract is both timely and advantageous.

The group has set out a few fundamental principles that need to be aimed for, they are:

- Value for money must be demonstrated to comply with the Standing Orders applicable in each local authority.
- All members of the joint gas servicing working group must be committed to changing their practices where the group as a whole benefits, without this being detrimental to an individual local authority.
- Flexibility must be incorporated to accommodate differences in operation between the partners that cannot be changed.
- Knowledge and expertise should be shared openly with all partners in order to reach a mutually beneficial position to all partners.

Three of the five authorities employ Gas Contract Services (GCS) directly to manage the gas servicing works, Shepway, Ashford and Dover District Council. Canterbury City Council employ GCS indirectly, through the main contractor for their responsive repair contract. Thanet District Council use Mears to do all our servicing and quality control that the other four authorities use GCS for. Each authority uses GCS differently, with different access procedures and performance data collection methodologies. There is therefore scope for a joined up approach across all the five authorities that would simplify the managing agent function, provide comparable performance data and lead to greater efficiencies in the managing agent functions.

GCS have tabled a proposal to prepare the OJEU notice, specifications and tender documents which will be set out in a way that contractors can submit a tender for a single authority, or all five authorities, and to include gas servicing and/or new heating installations. This will give a wide range of options for each authority to select a contractor which suits their own individual requirements, yet realising the possibility of efficiencies should the same contractor be selected by any or all of the other authorities. Each authority will enter into an individual contract with their own selected contractor.

What impact will these exemptions have on the contracting process and what evidence do you have to demonstrate value for money?

The joint gas servicing working group have identified the potential benefits of joint working as both cashable and non-cashable. Cashable benefits include resourcing the tendering process, the ability to buy in expert advice that is consistent for all parties (this is especially relevant as four of the five authorities use Gas Contract Services to provide this advice separately to each of them) and potential savings through better administration of the contracts (back office functions).

Qualitative benefits include better benchmarking through the ability to measure this key area in exactly the same way over all five authorities; partnering (setting a framework for joint working) that will assist in inspection reports; sharing of good practice to improve performance; shared knowledge and expertise.

GCS have confirmed that there will not be any extra cost in writing a joint contract for the five local authorities as they have already allowed for these costs in their current contracts with Shepway, Ashford and Dover District Council.

If they were requested to undertake the tendering process through OJEC then they would make no charge for this service providing they were employed to administer the contract for the first year.

GCS have estimated the cost for the management fee for the year 2010/11 as being £28,000 for managing the servicing element. Currently TDC pay Mears a sum of £92,000 for the management of the servicing only, so there will be an immediate saving of £64,000. This figure is subject to variation as the actual cost of the servicing may increase or decrease.

The estimate for GCS to manage the new installation and boiler replacement programme is £28,000. This figure could be offset by the reduction in officer time should we decide to include this element.

Following the first year, it will be for TDC to decide whether to extend the services GCS offer, or to seek competitive tenders. Consultation will be required with the other four authorities at this time.

APPROVAL

9 April 2009

Signature of officer completing form:

The information provided on this form is correct. The proposed expenditure is within the Budget and Policy Framework and I have read the Council's Contract Procedure Rules and have complied with all the requirements of the Rules, with the exception of those for which an exemption has been applied for.

Date:

Signature of the Head of Service:	Date:
have read the above form, have no objections to exem alue for money can be demonstrated.	ptions sought and am satisfied that
Signature of Portfolio Holder :	Date:
Signature of the Cabinet Leader:	Date:
To be completed by Democratic Services Date reported to Cabinet	-

APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM CONTRACTS PROCEDURE RULES

7617

Date: 11th March 2009

Tel Ext:

Contact Officer: Sarah Martin	Tel Ext:	7617	Date: 11 th March 2009	
Sarah Medus	Tel Ext:	7682		
Portfolio Finance				
Contract:				
To use PwC to assist with the comp				
allow us to reclaim past VAT paid go				
waste and leisure. Also, to use our	√AT Adviso	rs to protec	t our position in respect of	
compound interest only.				
We have not got the in-house exper				
identified areas to challenge HMRC				
areas are the intellectual property of				
able to make a claim. Unless we en			•	
knowledge to make an appeal ourse				
win, no fee basis. They have offered				
as to reclaim VAT leisure which is u				
services. They also offer a percent				
as PwC are able to protect our position doing as PwC affect different idea				
in doing so. PwC offer different ideas to recover VAT and therefore we are likely to be				
able to recover more VAT using both PwC and Authoritas, who are already progressing with a further claim for other areas such as car parking, catering and cultural services.				
with a further claim for other areas s	sucii as cai	parking, ca	tering and cultural services.	
Contractor (if known) PricewaterhouseCoopers				
Contractor (ii known) i neewaternoo	iseCoopers	•		
Amount of contract: (estimated or a	ctual) Impo	ssible to est	imate what the likely VAT	
recoverable will be. The fee is most				
Budget Code: 19070 3455		Budget v	value: we will only pay on a no	
g			ee basis, so there will be	
(Please enter the cost centre code a	and accoun		o offset the cost	
code)				
Is this applying for exemption retros	pectively?	NO		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. ,			
(If yes, please attach authorisation f	rom the			
S151 Officer)				
loses outling the CDD's you wish	to ellenone	1 (Diasea	nucto the CDD number)	

Please outline the CPR's you wish to suspend. (Please quote the CPR number)

I wish to suspend CPR3

Please describe why you want to suspend the above CPR's.

We already have a contract with Authoritas to provide us with VAT advice and are making a claim in relation to other areas of VAT. The work required re this appeal is not covered by our contract with Authoritas. PwC are offering fee terms of 5% and 20% of any VAT refund received. The areas at which is 20% is charged are PwC's unique areas on intellectual property. We can't know what this is unless we have a contract with them. The deadline for submitting the appeal is 31 March 2009, we are anxious to enter into an agreement with them and get the work started as soon as possible.

What impact will these exemptions have on the contracting process and what evidence do you have to demonstrate value for money?

We will not be seeking other quotes.

PwC are looking at a completely different area for reclaiming VAT. Trade waste and leisure are unique to PwC and reflect their intellectual property. The areas are not settled with HMRC yet, however if we do not protect the council's position then there will be no opportunity to make a claim. PwC have been engaged to protect client's position in respect of compound interest only as well and their fee of 20% and 5% of monies refunded reflects the hard costs they incur in respect of legal fees and the technical uncertainty.

As these areas have not been settled by HMRC yet, PwC offer new ideas and arguments as to why this VAT is due to be refunded to us. There is no guarantee that HMRC will agree with their arguments, but we must make a claim now in order to ensure that if they do agree it after the 31st March 2009, we do not lose out on a potential refund.

This is a no win, no fee basis so we do not stand to lose anything.

APPROVAL

The information provided on this form is correct. The proposed expenditure is within the Budget and Policy Framework and I have read the Council's Contract Procedure Rules and have complied with all the requirements of the Rules, with the exception of those for which an exemption has been applied for.

Signature of officer completing form: Sarah Medus	Date:11 th March 2009
Signature of the Head of Service:	Date:

I have read the above form, have no objections to exemptions sought and am satisfied that value for money can be demonstrated.

Signature of Portfolio Holder :	Date:
Signature of the Cabinet Leader:	Date:

To be completed by Democratic Services only	
Date reported to Cabinet	
9 April 2009	

APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM CONTRACTS PROCEDURE RULES

Contact Officer:	Tel Ext:		Date:	
Dominic Evans	2105		17 March 2009	
Portfolio Maritime				
Contract: Dredging in the Turn	ing Circle of th	he Port of	Ramsgate.	
(Title and brief description)				
Contractor (if known) UK Dredging				
Amount of contract: (estimated or actual) £60,000				
Budget Code: 13544 1005		Budget v	alue: £60,000	
(Please enter the cost centre code a code)	and account			
Is this applying for exemption retros	pectively?	NO		
(If yes, please attach authorisation f S151 Officer)	rom the			

Please outline the CPR's you wish to suspend. (Please quote the CPR number)

3.1

Please describe why you want to suspend the above CPR's.

Additional maintenance dredging of the turning circle is required to maintain port operations.

Three quotations have been obtained from specialist contractors who had the required plant availability (vessel Cherry Sands)

What impact will these exemptions have on the contracting process and what evidence do you have to demonstrate value for money?

To ensure best value 3 contractors were contacted to ascertain cost and availability of craft to undertake maintenance dredging to the Turning Circle to maintain port operations.

UK Dredging has the availability of craft and is considered best value for money.

APPROVAL

The information provided on this form is correct. The proposed expenditure is within the Budget and Policy Framework and I have read the Council's Contract Procedure Rules and have complied with all the requirements of the Rules, with the exception of those for which an exemption has been applied for.

Signature of officer completing form:	Date: 17/03/2009

have read the above form; have no objections to exenvalue for money can be demonstrated.	nptions sought and am satisfied that
Signature of Portfolio Holder :	Date:
Signature of the Cabinet Leader:	Date:
To be completed by Democratic Services only	
Date reported to Cabinet	
9 April 2009	

Date: 17/03/2009

Signature of the Head of Service:

APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM CONTRACTS PROCEDURE RULES

Contact Officer:	Tel Ext:		Date:	
Dominic Evans	2105		17 March 2	2009
Portfolio Maritime				
Contract: Dredging at the Port	of Ramsgate			
(Title and brief description)				
Contractor (if known) Wyre Marine Services				
Amount of contract: (estimated or a	ctual) £ 50,	000		
Budget Code: 13544 1005		Budget va	alue: Rech	argeable works
(Please enter the cost centre code a code)	and account			
Is this applying for exemption retros	spectively?	NO		
(If yes, please attach authorisation the S151 Officer)	from the			

Please outline the CPR's you wish to suspend. (Please quote the CPR number)

3.1-4.1- 4.2-4.3-4.5

Please describe why you want to suspend the above CPR's.

Due to the density and consistency of the dredged material from the area of berth No. 1 there is a need to continue the current campaign to facilitate its use by Thanet Off Shore Windfarm vessels for the construction of the windfarm. Thanet Off Shore has agreed to pay for the dredging costs on completion. Wyre Marine has suitable plant and availability From the contracts procurement officer.

"Yes, I agree that fits category B 63721000-9, Port and waterway operation services and associated services, therefore exempt from OJEU process. However, the value of spend for this work our own CPR's still apply and to date for the year I believe still falls above 75K, therefore you will need to get an exemption for not competing the total value of work "

What impact will these exemptions have on the contracting process and what evidence do you have to demonstrate value for money?

To ensure best value 3 contractors were contacted to ascertain cost and availability of craft due to the urgency of the contract, only two of the contractors, due to craft availability were able to provide us with a quote. However, the price given by Wyre Marine Services is considered good value for money.

APPROVAL

The information provided on this form is correct. The proposed expenditure is within the Budget and Policy Framework and I have read the Council's Contract Procedure Rules and

have complied with all the requirements of the Rules,	, with the exception of those for which an
exemption has been applied for.	

Signature of officer completing form:	Date: 17/03/2009
Signature of the Head of Service:	Date: 17/03/2009

I have read the above form; have no objections to exemptions sought and am satisfied that value for money can be demonstrated.

Signature of Portfolio Holder :	Date:
Signature of the Cabinet Leader:	Date:

To be completed by Democratic Services only	
Date reported to Cabinet	
9 April 2009	